

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,667	12/05/2003	Kieran Murphy	337133-00016	8478
54640 9 7550 0529/2009 PERRY + CURRER INC. 1300 YONGE STREET			EXAMINER	
			BUL, VY Q	
SUITE 500 TORONTO, C	N M4T-1X3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/727,667 MURPHY, KIERAN Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Vv Q. Bui -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.3.5-10 and 17-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/18/09

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 4, 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Fearnot et al. (5609629).

Fearnot (Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 23-39; col. 8, line 20 to col. 9, line 21) disclose a stainless

steel stent, for example, including coatings of soluble polymers (col. 4, lines 30-35) and a

radiologic opacifier material such as iodine-containing compound (line 10, col. 9).

It is clearly recognized that when radiologic opacifier material in the soluble bioactive

polymer dissolves, the radiologic profile of stent 12 will be less pronounce (smaller Hounsfield number) and the morphology of the surrounding tissue will be seen more clearly. Alternatively,

it would have been obvious to have less radiologic opacifier material after dissolving with

bioactive material to have a CT or MRI profile of stent 12 with smaller Hounsfield number.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/27/2009 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

The Applicant mainly argued that Fearnot-'629 fails to disclose different Hounsfield

numbers of the stent before and after an elution of a composition of a soluble polymer and a

opacifier, such as an iodine-containing compound.

Art Unit: 3773

However, as indicated in the "Final Office Action", when the Fearnot-'629 stent is first deployed into a body, the layer of the composition of a soluble polymer and the iodine-containing compound is thicker and has more iodine-containing compound to cause the radio opacity of the stent to be more pronounce (higher Hounsfield number). Over time, when the composition of the soluble polymer and the iodine-containing compound has been dissolved significantly, the content of iodine-containing compound in the stent will be significantly less and the radio opacity of the stent will be reduced (lower Hounsfield number), and the stent will be less visible over the surrounding tissue or the surrounding tissue will be more visible.

Further, the Applicant has failed to point out any structural difference between the claimed invention and the Fearnot-'629 device.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vy Q. Bui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773